JURY SELECTION FOR DEATH PENALTY CASES CONFERENCE

March 1, 2019 APAAC Training Room Phoenix, Arizona



JURY QUESTIONNAIRES

Presented by:

Paula Anderson

Trial Consultant
Anderson & Associates Research Services, Inc.

Patricia Stevens

Bureau Chief Capital Litigation Bureau, Maricopa County Attorney's Office

Distributed by:

ARIZONA PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS' ADVISORY COUNCIL 1951 West Camelback Road, Suite 202 Phoenix, Arizona 85015

> ELIZABETH ORTIZ EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1.	17	Male; 34 yo; married; 5 young kids; some college; full time lineman w/ SRP; spouse – homemaker; no military	F/U Q. 100 – ok to reveal at end no DP – follow up on his answer about being hard – Concerning that he wants "no doubt" so follow up and ask if he can follow law on burden of proof – Depending on his answers on follow up above, he might be stronger than appears – no baggage and good on unborn and this case if extreme – don't see any huge mitigation flags. Just OK on G & DP FB- very family oriented profile, very few posts other than pictures of family, seems like a goofball		
2.	37	Female; 37 yo; married; 2 young kids; college grad; marketing for medical institute; SP: Veterinarian; no military	F/U try and rehabilitate for such strong Pro prosecution answers so that DEF will have to strike her. Strong female who volunteers to help with human trafficking and would be a DREAM juror on this case. DEF will strike if she does not get struck for C FB- lots of posts about family, Boston Strong, travels a lot, works out healthy living, nothing bad on profile	++ C	
3.	40	Male; 60 yo; divorced; no kids; HS; retired motorcycle mechanic; NRA lifetime member; Served in Air Force-Radar Tech	This guy appears to be strong, silent, conservative, law & order kind of guy. Uses few words but beliefs are held strongly. DEF will try and go after him for biases in favor of Cops. Gut is that he prob won't give up enough for C. Strong J on G, good on DP. FB-no profile	+ 1/2	
4.	45	Female; 73 yo; married; 3 adult children (1 natural, 2 step children); community college; retired "shop owner"; spouse-retired stockbroker (UBS) – Sp, Marines. No combat. Chamber of Commerce member.	F/U what type of business did she own & run? What type of case juror on? This juror has very high expectations for what she will want to see in the way of evidence & proof. I am concerned about her constant use of the word extreme case – I don't know what qualifies as an extreme case /one would think that this case would be an extreme case but difficult to tell. I don't see any baggage –just not sure if her qualifier of killing without regret gives her the out she wants to vote no DP. Good VD on this juror is essential Ok guilt –Ok DP. FB- no profile	XX	

5.	69	Male; 24 yo; single; no kids; some college; part time merchandiser; no military	F/U testified in court for suicide (details?) Hardship Q. 24 work and transportation issues; C No DP Q. 29, 55, 95 Q 95 - "No comment" I would agree with this juror - he is too young & is way too close to many of the situations that the DEF will use as mitigation. Will totally buy what the DEF is selling. He gives us plenty to F/U on for C & I am particularly disturbed by his no comment/no opinion answers, I think he is playing games. FB- nothing significant on profile typical college party goer, bars, tattoos, and Patriots	XX 1/2
6.	103	Male; 26 yo; single; no kids; college grad; accountant; no military	F/U H-for work as he is the only one responsible for payroll, says arrangements can be made double check On paper appears to be more logical, calculating and pragmatic, the only emotion I saw was specific to crimes that are heinous. Even though he is young he appears more mature and probably a total nerd. Not sure how he will play with others, but stronger than many other jurors FB- younger guy photos of him an significant other	0X
7.	123	Female; 25 yo; single; no kids; some college; patient care coordinator; no military, born in Mexico, has an interpreter certificate, lives with 2 sisters and a niece, Father is a Doctor in Puerto Rico	Very weak on DP, think that is primarily due to youthful age and a belief that being locked up for the rest of your life is more torturous and death is the easy way out- I'm not sensing a moral outrage against DP but definitely a hesitancy to vote for DP. Probably not the worst juror but definitely not the strongest FB- lots of pics, speaks in Spanish, does pole fitness, nothing significant on profile	XX
8.	144	Female; 43 yo; married; 2 kids(9&13); college grad; biologist; Spouse is also biologist both work at NIH; no military; both work with native American DNA the goal is to find a cure for type II diabetes	FU-Cause – No DP Q. 83, 84, 89, 93- get her to commit to the fact that she will never vote for DP has already made up her mind- get for cause This jurors biggest weakness is her reliance on only science and her inability to even consider DP, which means if she snot struck for cause we will have to strike her FB-scenery and pics with husband rest of profile locked	XXX
9.	145	Male; 52 yo; married; 1 kid(7 Year old); college grad; industrial engineering; has EBAY store; self-employed;	FU- Q24 Hardship loss of income as sole proprietor Very weird guy- get some odd vibes from him, definitely did not like his issue on unborn fetuses; and that he wrote "IDK" on DNA/Eye	XX

		Spouse FEDEX disabled	Let's focus on getting him dismissed for hardship as sole proprietor because I get the sense that he will look very hard for mitigation therefore this is not a good case for this juror FB- no profile found	
10.	146	Male; 35 yo; married; no kids; college grad; technical support tech; no military; Spouse is homemaker	I like this guy on paper - other than the fact that he has a crazy wife, his thought process is logical and his answers on DP are strong. FB-no profile found	++
11.	148	Female; 28 yo; divorced; no kids; HS; quality assurance listener-discover card; no military	Was looking good as a juror until I got to the DP; not looking for jurors who are indifferent, nor those who want defendants to reflect on their crimes; she might be stronger in person than she is on paper and would be willing to look closer at her so some FU V/D would be helpful to get a better sense on if she is truly indifferent on DP FB- profile lots of selfies and dog photos, shelter donations, tattoos, nothing else significant	XX
12.	151	Female; 32 yo; married; 2 kids(10 & 12); college grad(Psych/Communicati on); employed by UPS; no military; Spouse sales engineer for AT&T	This gal has had some rough spots in her life, especially growing up, and appears to be a strong and was a single mother with a deadbeat dad; and would have little tolerance for some of the defendants hard luck stories, a little weak on expectations on scientific evidence but fairly solid on DP, especially on this case FB-no profile found too common of name	+ 1/2
13.	195	Female; 25 yo; single; no kids; some college; mortgage banker; no military; Lives with Mother	Young millennial juror who thinks everything needs to be captured on a cell phone video in order to prove it really happened. I am actually more concerned on this juror with regards to guilt then I am on the DP I think if we can get her to Guilt we have a good shot on the DP with this case because she does not appear to be strongly opposed to the DP; her age and unrealistic expectations worry me. I don't think she will be a leader if she stays so she may be ok with a strong group jury FB-super lock down profile	X
14.	196	Male; 57 yo; married; no kids; some college; patient transporter- Banner healthcare; Navy, bilingual, Spouse is	FU-claims work hardship due to understaffing at the hospital because of Flu I like several aspects of this juror including military service and remains active in military organizations, and prior guilty verdict on arson case in 06, however will we have enough science evidence for this juror since he has already sat on an arson case where there was sufficient evidence? If so he would be great, and I believe the	0+

		housekeeper at desert sky; active in military organizations	circumstances of this case are sever enough to warrant DP for this juror; he's not my favorite but I might be able to live with him if we are running low on strikes FB- no profile found	
15.	198	Female; 52 yo; married; 2 kids(14 & 15); tech school; unemployed; Spouse-project manager she is medical asst in private practice, no is homemaker, she is also VP of school PTO	FU-Q61 answered both yes and no; inconsistent DP answers, need clear understanding about any potential bias. This juror confuses me with inconsistent answers regarding DP, weak on DNA/Eye witness confession needed for conviction, don't think she is very smart, will get a better idea after V/D. not the strongest juror – but something makes me think she could be better in person. FB- all about dogs and Notre Dame Prep, license plate personalize CHILLJILL daughters are involved in cheer at Notre Dame	X
16.	202	Female; 60 yo; married; no kids; tech school; Staff nurse in corrections (medical care to inmates); no military; Spouse- roadside motorist asst. for DPS	Potential Cause – Q 94, 103 – always DP Q 77 questionable; Q75 Smiling Defense will come hard for her for cause as she has strong bias and opinions FU would be primarily to rehab so she is not struck for cause Obviously a very strong state juror especially regarding her feelings in support of DP and accountability, she is a little weak on expectations on evidence but not overly worried, she is not pro inmate as some DOC people tend to be, Defense will have to strike her if she survives cause.	++
17.	203	Female; 29 yo; single; no kids; some college; 2 jobs; United healthcare & H&R Block (prepares taxes during tax time & Authorizations for cancer treatment); no military	Def Propose for Cause This juror appears to be a relatively neutral, blank slate type of juror; doesn't appear to have any specific experiences or bias. She references sentence fitting the crime which probably explains why defense wants her gone for cause; my only concern for her would be her desire for witnesses to the crime	+
18.	215	Male; 20 yo; single; no kids; HS; security guard (4 months)	FU- Hardship – applying for PPD, might interfere Looks like he Skipped Q's 19-23 I do not like him for several reasons; first I don't think he is very bright and it is obvious that he lacks attention to detail and in that he skipped questions and answered questions inconsistently (I think he flip flopped answers on DP and Life in prison) He lacks life experience and probably a level of maturity needed for this	XX

			case; and he also wants DNA for proof and indicated an unwillingness to respectfully deliberate (Q97)	
			If defense isn't going after him for PPD then perhaps we should let him go for hardship.	
19.	216	Female; 56 yo; college grad(business admin);2 kids(19&22) market development rep-grocery drugstore accounts; no military; Spouse-self-employed doesn't say doing what	FU- Cause – No DP; Q 95, 96 mental health issues; Q 89 Hardship – Q 24 doctors & meds; FU-what does spouse do for a living; FU-Q60/Q62 She is an unstable juror and she recognizes and is in treatment for mental health issues; she is fragile and will not be able to handle this case emotionally; she will not enter a DP verdict; all of V/D FU needs to be focused on having her dismissed for Hardships and cause Not specific to DP but thinks black and non white persons are more frequently arrested then whites; was against DP when she was in high school	XXX
20.	217	Female; 33 yo; married; 3 kids(1,3,13); post grad MBA; finance manager(AETNA); no military; Spouse-teacher buckeye school district	I like this juror; she is smart, and held her ex-husband accountable for cheating and child support so she will hopefully hold the defendant to the same accountability. She is pretty much a blank slate on DP and continually references depends on circumstances-don't see any major red flags for mitigation	+ 1/2
21.	220	Female; 60 yo; married; 3 kids(adult); some college; retired (student services clerk for college); spouse in Army-combat and is driver for handicap	This juror is a little difficult and would really be interested in hearing what she says in open court, kind of a blank slate and doesn't have any strongly held opinions however she has read a book on how painful the DP was on family members which I am assuming is the defendants family but need to FU on book details to be sure; My gut tells me she is probably weaker on DP then we would like.	X 1/2
22.	240	Female; 47 yo; married; 5 kids(23,21,19,15,10); college grad(associates); certified life coach; homemaker; no military; Spouse-ER physician; LDS; Mother lives with them; active in the Ward	FU- Hardship WEAK – picks up kids form school and takes to activities FU on the letter written to judge about the sentencing of the molestation of her daughter was she asking for leniency for relative or justice for daughter? This juror has a lot going on for a stay at home mom, normally like LDS on DP cases because their religion supports DP, concerned that she continued to talk to the relative in prison who molested her child, I am also interested to know what the other tragic events were that caused her anxiety to the point of needing medication; She may be willing to follow a strong jury. Hearing her answers in V/D will help determine this	XX
23.	242	Male; 47 yo; married; 2 kids(10,8); college grad(business admin); recreation	Def Propose for Cause Defense will go after him because he is fairly strong on DP, he appears to be a juror with little to no baggage, nor experiences that would negatively affect his decision making in this case, not a whole lot of info in his	+ ½

		supervisor(town of gilbert); no military, was born in Germany; Spouse also works in recreation for the town of Gilbert	SJQ answers are short and to the point; Not overly worried about this juror	
24.	244	Female; 23 yo; single; 3 kids(6,5,1); some college; pharmacy tech; no military	Def Propose for Cause Another young juror, but this one is a single mom with 3 kids and no child support; doesn't seem to be the brightest, and see some odd and inconsistent answers in her DP sections, which is why defense wanted her gone for cause; My gut is she is a follower and will follow the group and do not see her as hanging this jury, conversely she will also go with life in prison if that is where the group goes. I think she is a sheep.	0+
25.	246	Male; 68 yo; married; 4 kids(adult); self-employed; construction superintendent; no military; Spouse is self-employed realtor; He is a scout master	FU- evading police officer details needed? Why only a police officer for 1 year? I truly appreciate his DP answers as I believe they are honest, thoughtful, and logical; as long as there wasn't something extremely negative with regards to his 1 year employment as a cop he would probably be a solid juror and that facts of this case should meet his DP Criteria	+
26.	258	Male; 62 yo; married; 4 kids(adult); college grad(chemistry); retired (Nuclear fuel engineer from APS); no military; Spouse-Nuclear fuel specialist	FU- Q60/62 to clarify his feelings about when life begins-unborn child; FU on issues of child support and his bitterness with divorce court; FU on cluster migraines and medication that he takes. Smart guy, who has some good and bad answers and beliefs, has some experiences regarding nonpayment of child support and bitterness in divorce court. Think he might be kind of a snarky guy, so how he answers some questions in court will be key, did not like his answer regarding life in prison is worth then the DP, I don't see a strong opposition to DP though.	0+
27.	259	Female; 54 yo; married; no kids; college grad(psychology); has an online business otherwise unemployed, previously director of banquets; no military; Spouse-executive banquet manager talking stick	Defense will go hard for cause due to support of DP, so we can see how she responds to determine how strong she is. A bit weak on evidence expectations but not overly worried. Not the strongest not the weakest juror for this case	0+

□ C □ S □ DP	□C □S □DP	□ C □ S □ DP					
✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ <u>X</u>	✓ X	✓ <u>X</u>
□ C □ S □ DP	□C □S □DP	□C □S □DP	□ C □ S □ DP	□C □S □DP	□C □S □DP	□ C □ S □ DP	\square C \square S \square DP
✓ X	✓ <u>X</u>	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ <u>X</u>	✓ X	✓ <u>X</u>
□ C □ S □ DP	□C □S □DP		\square C \square S \square DP				
✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	□ DP ✓ X	✓ X
□ C □ S □ DP							
✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ X	✓ ×
		'	'	'	1	1	
□ DP ✓ x							